

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/038,545	10/24/2001	Katsumi Tomioka	P/1139-107	7121
32172	7590 09/21/2005		EXAMINER	
DICKSTEIN SHAPIRO MORIN & OSHINSKY LLP			LEE, DAVID J	
1177 AVENU! 41 ST FL.	1177 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS (6TH AVENUE) 41 ST FL.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
NEW YORK,	NY 10036-2714		2633	

DATE MAILED: 09/21/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	ÚX				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/038,545	TOMIOKA, KATSUMI			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	David Lee	2633			
The MAILING DATE of this communicate Period for Reply	ion appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAIL - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statuto. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ING DATE OF THIS COMMUNI 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a ation. ry period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO by statute, cause the application to become A	ICATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed o	n <u>30 August 2005</u> .				
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b)	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice to	under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.I). 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☑ Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the appl 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are v 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☑ Claim(s) 1-12 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction	vithdrawn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Entropy The drawing(s) filed on 24 October 2001 Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by	f is/are: a) \boxtimes accepted or b) \square on to the drawing(s) be held in abeyate correction is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority doc 2. Certified copies of the priority doc 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International * See the attached detailed Office action for	cuments have been received. cuments have been received in A he priority documents have beer Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage			
Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)			
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO Paper No(s)/Mail Date	.948) Paper No	(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 2633

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tochio (US Patent No. 6,563,613) in view of Effenberger (US Patent No. 5,930,018).

Regarding claims 1 and 5, Tochio teaches an optical subscriber system comprising: station equipment (fig. 2, 11); a plurality of subscriber units (fig. 2, 12₁ to 12_n); a transmission line for transmitting trailing signals from the station equipment to the plurality of subscriber units and transmitting leading signals from the plurality of subscriber units to the station equipment (fig. 2, 13); and a star coupler for branching trailing signals and combing the leading signals (fig. 2, 13a), the station equipment comprising a transmission line distance monitor/processor unit (fig. 2, 11b, 23) which sends a distance measuring control signal to each of the subscriber units, and measures, based on a distance measuring signal returned from each of the subscriber units, the transmission line distance between the station equipment and each of the subscriber units (fig. 2, 11a, and col. 5, lines 50-60). Tochio also teaches that the process is for preventing collision of signals from subscriber unit stations by giving notice of the

Art Unit: 2633

distance/transmission timing of each subscriber unit (col. 6, line 64 to col. 7, line 4). Tochio does not specifically disclose that the transmission distances are compared to a reference value in order to determine whether it is smaller or larger. However, the use of comparing transmission distances to a reference value in order to determine and arrange units so as to prevent collisions is well known in the art. For example, Effenberger teaches an optical subscriber system which measures the distances to each subscriber unit and orders the subscriber units in ascending order, from smallest to largest (col. 3, lines 14-24 and see also fig. 3; in this case, the reference value would be the value that is used in comparison to the measured value when sorting the units). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to compare the distances to a reference value, as taught by Effenberger to determine whether the distance is smaller or larger in order to successfully sort the units to prevent collisions in the system of Tochio, thereby optimizing transmission performance.

Regarding claims 2 and 6, the combined invention of Tochio and Effenberger teaches the station equipment further comprising a trailing signal multiplexer (fig. 2, 26 and col. 6, lines 8-11) and a leading signal separator (fig. 2, 26 and col. 6, lines 12-15) and functions to multiplex the distance measuring equipment signal, generated in the transmission line distance monitor/processor unit, in the trailing signal multiplexer to prepare a trailing signal, which is then sent to each of the subscriber unit, (col. 6, lines 8-11) and to separate, from a leading signal returned from each of the subscriber units, a distance measuring

Art Unit: 2633

signal, in the leading signal separator (col. 6, lines 12-15), which is then sent to the transmission line distance monitor/processor unit (col. 6, line 14-15).

Regarding claims 3 and 7, the combined invention of Tochio and Effenberger teaches that the transmission line distance monitor/processor unit comprises a distance measuring control signal generator (fig. 5, 23a and col. 8, lines 27-30), a distance measuring section (fig. 2, 23 and col. 5, lines 58-60), and a distance judgment section (fig. 3 of Effenberger), and the distance measuring control signal generated in the distance measuring control signal generator is multiplexed in the trailing signal multiplexer to prepare a trailing signal (fig. 2, 26 and col. 6, lines 8-11), which is then sent to each of the subscriber units, and a distance measuring signal is separated from a leading signal, returned from each of the subscriber units, in the leading signal separator to prepare a distance measuring signal (fig. 2, 26, and col. 6, lines 12-15) that is then input into the distance measuring section (col. 6, line 14-15) which sends a distance signal to the distance judgment section for judging whether the transmission line distance is larger or smaller than a reference value.

Regarding claims 4, and 8-12, the combined invention of Tochio and Effenberger discloses that the optical subscriber system which, when the transmission line distance is larger than the reference value, issues an alarm ("gives notice of" – col. 7, line 2 of Tochio).

Response to Amendment

Art Unit: 2633

3. Applicant's request for reconsideration of the finality of the rejection of the last Office action is persuasive and, therefore, the finality of that action is withdrawn.

Response to Arguments

- 4. Regarding applicant's assertion that claims 1 and 5 do not read on the use of multiple reference values, Examiner disagrees. Examiner interprets the "reference value" of claims 1 and 5 not as a single value for every distance measurement, but as a relative value as a basis for determining whether a given distance is smaller or larger. Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. The Examiner takes the position that the claim language fails to convey that only a single reference value is used for judgment.
- 5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David Lee whose telephone number is (571) 272-2220. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday Friday, 9:00 am 5:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jason Chan can be reached on (571) 272-3022. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2633

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

M. R. SEDIGHIAN
PRIMARY EXAMINER

DL